

Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal to amend	Planning Proposal to amend Macquarie Park Corridor car parking controls			
Proposal Summary	sal Summary : The objectives of this planning proposal is to make minor amendments to Ryde LEP 2014 and remove Macquarie Park Corridor car parking controls.				
PP Number :	PP_2016_RYDEC_004_00	Dop File No :	16/09906		
oposal Details			at .		
Date Planning Proposal Received	22-Jul-2016	LGA covered :	Ryde		
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Ryde City Council		
State Electorate :	RYDE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy				
ocation Details					
Street :	I4-58 Delhi Road				
Suburb :	Macquarie Park City :	: Ryde	Postcode : 2113		
Land Parcel :					
Street :	3 Plassey Road				
Suburb :	Macquarie Park City	: Ryde	Postcode : 2113		
Land Parcel :					
DoP Planning O	fficer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Tegan Park				
Contact Number :	0292286369				
Contact Email :	tegan.park@planning.nsw.go	ov.au			
RPA Contact De	tails				
Contact Name :	Lara Dominish				
Contact Number :	0299528455				
Contact Email :	ldominish@ryde.nsw.gov.au				
DoP Project Ma	nager Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Sandy Chappel				
Contact Number :	0292286591				
Contact Email :	sandy.chappel@planning.ns				

# Planning Proposal to amend Macquarie Park Corridor car parking controls

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment;	The Department of Planning and communication and meetings wit has not met any lobbyists in relat any meetings between other Depa	h lobbyists has been complied ion to this proposal, nor has t	d with. Sydney Region East he Director been advised of
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Macquarie Park corridor is a spec for 39,000 people and more than a is bounded by the M2 Motorway,	30,000 students attend Macqu	arie University. The corridor
	The proposal affects car parking Macquarie Park are currently con contained in an LEP rather than a LEP and all other parking control previously received advice from t	tained in Ryde LEP 2014. Parl DCP. It does not form a part s for Ryde are in the Ryde DC	king controls are usually of the Standard Instrument P 2014. Ryde Council had
	The proposal is supported becau allows Council to make necessar individual planning proposals. Th strategy to reduce private vehicle	y parking rate changes withou iis is a more effective way of i	ut having to submit mplementing Council's
External Supporting Notes :	Council supports this planning p • aligns Ryde LEP 2014 with the S • creates consistency between all • will enable the implementation of • corrects existing anomalies.	itandard Instrument LEP; parking controls contained in	n the Ryde DCP 2014;
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The proposal seeks to amend parking controls for Macquair into the Ryde Development Co	Park Corridor from the Ryde	

	<ul> <li>Remove parking rate</li> <li>Align Ryde LEP with</li> <li>Create consistency</li> <li>parking controls the F</li> <li>Enable incremental</li> </ul>	changes to parking requirements to be implemented, in line with the Macquarie Park Parking Rates Study; and
	This is considered ad	lequate.
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55	(2)(b)
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes	
Comment :	• Amend map CEN_00 Corridor; • Amend Clause 4.5B	o: 04, MPP_005, MPP_008 and MPP_009; 09 to include 14-58 Delhi Road and 3 Plassey Road, Macquarie Park Macquarie Park Corridor by deleting subclause (1), (2) and (4b); and of Macquarie Park Corridor Parking Restriction Map.
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strateg	gy been agreed to by the D	Director General? Yes
b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Director	r General's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	rder 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	- A Plan For Growin - Section 117 Direct A PLAN FOR GROW	ions and SEPPS
	The planning propo	usal is of low impact and generally consistent with A Plan for will not hinder the application of the plan.
	SECTION 117 DIRE	CTIONS AND SEPPS
	The planning propo 117 Directions.	esal is considered generally consistent with all SEPPS and Section
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) beir	ng adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :		
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	ſes	
Comment :	The planning propo	esal includes an extract from both the current and proposed maps.
	All mapping is cons	sidered adequate.
Community consult	tation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consul	tation been proposed? Ye	s
Comment :	Ryde Council have	identified a 28 day exhibition period.

## Planning Proposal to amend Macquarie Park Corridor car parking controls

As the proposal is of low impact, a 14 day consultation period is considered adequate.

# **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons : There are no additional Secretary's Requirements (formerly Director General's Requirements).

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The proposal is considered adequate.	
------------------	--------------------------------------	--

### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

#### Due Date : September 2014

Comments in relation The Ryde LEP 2014 was notified in September 2014. to Principal LEP :

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is based on a study by Bitzios Traffic Consulting on car park controls in Macquarie Park Corridor.
	Moving the controls from Ryde LEP 2014 to Ryde DCP is the most effective way to amend parking controls for the corridor. If the controls are not removed from the LEP, each change to parking controls would require an individual planning proposal to be submitted.
	Bitzios Traffic Study provides a comprehensive approach to reducing dependency on private transport through reducing car parks per square metre in areas frequently serviced by public transport. The study aims to meet Ryde Council's target for 70% Private Vehicle Mode Share Target by 2031.
	The amendment is adequately justified. Clause 4.5B(1) Macquarie Park Corridor - Off street car parking controls does not form a part of the Standard Instrument LEP and all other parking controls are contained in the Ryde DCP. Removing parking controls from the LEP will enable Council to meet Private Vehicle Mode Share target for 60-70% in accordance to the Macquarie Park Parking Rates Study.
	A planning proposal is the only means of making the amendments to the Ryde LEP 2014.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY The planning proposal is of low impact and generally consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney. It will not hinder the application of the plan.
	CITY OF RYDE 2021 COMMUNITY STRATEGY PLAN The proposal is consistent with City of Ryde 2021 community strategy plan. The strategy aims to encourage environmentally friendly transport options and improve traffic congestion in the Macquarie Park Corridor. Removing the Macquarie Park Parking Controls from the LEP will enable Ryde Council to amend parking controls and encourage the use of alternate transport through DCP controls.
	LOCAL PLANNING STUDY The proposal is consistent with Council's Local Planning Study 2010. Improving parking management and controls, reducing car dependency and encouraging alternate forms of transport were key aspects of the study. The planning proposal will help Council achieve

the intended planning outcome in Ryde.

# Planning Proposal to amend Macquarie Park Corridor car parking controls

**ENVIRONMENTAL** Environmental social There are no known critical habitats or threatened species, populations or ecological economic impacts : communities, or their habitats affected by the planning proposal. The planning proposal could have a positive environmental impact. The proposal enables Council to create disincentives to drive to the Macquarie park area. Reducing the number of car parks in Macquarie Park would ease traffic congestion on local and surrounding roads and reduce emissions through encouraging the use of alternate transport in the precinct ECONOMIC The planning proposal suggests no economic impacts, but the Macquarie Park Parking Rates Study focuses on reducing car spaces in areas frequented by, or in close proximity to, public transport. Ensuring viable transport is available will mitigate any unforseen economic impacts of the proposal. SOCIAL The planning proposal could have a positive social impact. The proposal aims to reduce private car trips in the Macquarie Park Precinct and encourage alternate transport uses. Creating incentives for public transport benefits the broader community as car emissions are reduced and traffic conditions in the area will be improved. It should be noted that there are limited commuter car parking spaces in the surrounding areas. The existing public transport networks are also poorly connected to other Northern Suburbs. A transport and traffic assessment is being conducted as a part of the strategic review of Macquarie Park. Assessment Process 14 Days Minor Community Consultation Proposal type : Period : **RPA** 6 months Delegation : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority **Transport for NSW** Consultation - 56(2)(d) **Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services** 1 No Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? Yes (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required

Metropolitan and Regional Strategy

s the provision and fundi	ng of state infrastructure relevant to this	s plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :			
uments			
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal - Ryd Centre car parking.pdf	e City Council - Macquaire Park	Proposal	Yes
nning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage : R	ecommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Trans 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for G	-	
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:		
	1. The planning proposal be public	ly exhibited for a period no less tha	in 14 days.
	2. Council is to consult with: • Roads and Maritime Service • Transport for NSW		
	3. A public hearing is not required.		
	4. The planning proposal is to be fi determination.	nalised within 6 months from the d	ate of the gateway
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal seeks to amend current inconsistencies. The changes will assist more effective delivery of parking controls in the Ryde Local Government Area.		
	The amendments are SUPPORTED because: 1. It is consistent with Council's own strategic plans and the NSW strategic planning framework; 2. It makes updates the Ryde LEP 2014 to implement findings from the Macquaire Park		
	Parking Rates Study; and 3. The proposal will ensure Ryde LEP 2014 aligns with the Standard Instrument LEP.		

The proposal is recommended for approval if the gateway conditions are met by Council.

Date: 31.8.16

Signature:

Printed Name:

Sandy Char